For publication

INTERNAL AUDIT CONSORTIUM ANNUAL REPORT 2015/2016

Meeting: Standards and Audit Committee

Date: 22nd June 2016

Cabinet portfolio: Governance

Report by: Internal Audit Consortium Manager

For publication

1.0 Purpose of report

- 1.1 The purpose of this report is to:-
 - Present a summary of the internal work undertaken during 2015/16 from which the opinion on the internal control environment is derived.
 - Provide an opinion on the overall adequacy and effectiveness of the Council's control environment including any qualifications to that opinion.
 - Draw attention to any issues that need to be considered for inclusion in the Annual Governance Statement.
 - Compare work actually undertaken with that which was planned and summarise performance.
 - Comment on compliance with the Public Sector Internal Audit Standards (PSIAS).
 - Confirm progress against the Internal Audit Improvement Plan and to present the new improvement plan.
 - Comment on the results of the quality assurance programme.
 - Confirm the organisational independence of internal audit
 - Review the performance of the Internal Audit Consortium against the current Internal Audit Charter.

2.0 Recommendations

- 2.1 That the Internal Audit Consortium Annual Report for 2015/16 be accepted.
- 2.2 That Members note that the issue of implementing internal audit recommendations in a timely fashion has been raised at CMT and measures discussed to improve the situation.

3.0 Report details

SUMMARY OF WORK UNDERTAKEN

3.1 Appendix A details the audit reports issued in respect of audits included in the 2015/16 internal audit plan. The appendix shows for each report the overall opinion on the reliability of the internal controls and the opinion given at the last audit. The report opinions can be summarised as follows:

| Control Level | Number of Reports | Percentage 2015/16 | Percentage 2014/15 |
|----------------|----------------------|--------------------|--------------------|
| Good | 9 | 28.1 | 40.0 |
| Satisfactory | 18 | 56.3 | 34.3 |
| Marginal | 3 | 9.4 | 22.8 |
| Unsatisfactory | 2 | 6.2 | 2.9 |
| Unsound | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| | 32 | 100.0 | 100.0 |

- 3.2 A definition of the above control levels is shown in Appendix A.
- 3.3 There were no issues relating to fraud arising from the reports detailed in Appendix A.

3.4 The following table summarises the performance indicators for the Internal Audit Consortium as detailed in the Internal Audit Service Plan:

| Description | 2015/16 | | 2016/17 |
|---|------------------------------|----------|------------------------------|
| _ | Plan | Actual | Plan |
| Cost per Audit Day | £279 | £237 (1) | £286 |
| Percentage of Plan Completed | 96% | 100% | 96% |
| Sickness Absence (Average Days per Employee) | 8.5 (Corporate Target) | 11(2) | 8.5 (Corporate Target) |
| Customer Satisfaction Score (see para 11 below) | 80% | 89% | 85% |
| To issue internal audit reports within 10 days of the close out meeting | 90% | 100% | 90% |
| Number/proportion of audits completed within time allocation | 80% | 79% | 80% |
| % 2015/16 Agreed recommendations implemented by due date | 100% | 68% (3) | 80% |
| Quarterly reporting to Standards and Audit Committee | 100% | 100% | 100% |

- (1) The Consortium operated without a Deputy Head of Internal Audit in 2015/16. A new structure is now in place.
- (2) Due predominantly to 1 long term sickness case, the employee has now returned to work.
- (3) The Internal Audit Consortium's improvement plan at Appendix C details how efforts will be made to try and improve this figure by the Internal Audit Consortium Manager however Managers also need to ensure that they are implementing agreed recommendations in a timely manner.

OPINION ON THE ADEQUACY AND EFFECTIVENESS OF THE CONTROL ENVIRONMENT

- 3.5 In respect of the main financial systems, Appendix A demonstrates that internal controls were generally found to be operating satisfactorily or well giving an overall confidence in the internal control system operating in relation to these systems.
- 3.6 Overall, 84.4 % of the areas audited received a good or satisfactory opinion demonstrating that in the main there are effective systems of governance, risk management and control in place. This is an improved position on the 2014/15 year when 74.3% of areas were classed as good or satisfactory.
- 3.7 There were 2 unsatisfactory reports issued during the year in relation to data protection and non housing property repairs. Recommendations remain outstanding in respect of both of these audits and they have been raised as significant issues within the annual governance statement. More resource will be available to action the data protection recommendations once the new post of Information Assurance Officer has been filled. The non housing property repairs issues are currently being addressed by senior management.
- 3.8 A further 3 reports were classed as marginal (council tax, car parks and procurement) the recommendations made have been agreed and are in the process of being implemented.
- 3.9 Performance management procedures are in place to ensure that CMT receive regular reports in relation to outstanding audit recommendations in order that appropriate action can be taken. This report has been presented to CMT and it was agreed that managers will be held to account where recommendations remain outstanding. Where sufficient progress has not been made in respect of implementing high priority recommendations, managers attendance at the Standards and Audit Committee may be required to explain the reasons for delay.

ISSUES FOR INCLUSION IN THE ANNUAL GOVERNANCE STATEMENT

3.10 The internal control issues arising from audits completed in the year have been considered during the preparation of the Annual Governance Statement. The issues surrounding data protection, non-housing property repairs and procurement practices have all been raised as significant governance issues within the annual governance statement. In addition to this, audit concerns relating to IT provision and security raised in 2014/15 have not been fully addressed and management have identified further areas for improvement so this has also been raised again.

COMPARISON OF PLANNED WORK TO ACTUAL WORK UNDERTAKEN

3.11 The Internal Audit Plan for 2015/16 was approved by the Standards and Audit Committee on the 10th April 2015. A comparison of planned audits with audits completed is shown as Appendix B. 100% of planned audits in respect of the internal audit plan were completed during the year.

COMPLIANCE WITH THE PUBLIC SECTOR INTERNAL AUDIT STANDARDS AND OTHER QUALITY ASSURANCE RESULTS

- 3.12 During 2015/16 a self- assessment was undertaken to review compliance with the Public Sector Internal Audit Standards. The review confirmed that there were no significant areas of non- compliance
- 3.13 Progress against the last improvement plan was reported to this Committee in June 2015. With the exception of the external review of internal audit and ongoing targets that improvement plan has been completed. Appendix C shows the new improvement plan for the Consortium that will ensure the service continually moves forward and develops.
- 3.14 It can also be confirmed that the internal audit activity is organisationally independent. Internal audit reports directly to the Head of Finance but has a direct and unrestricted

access to the Corporate Leadership Team and the Standards and Audit Committee.

- 3.15 Quality control procedures have been established within the internal audit consortium as follows:
 - Individual Audit Reviews Working papers and reports are all subject to independent review to ensure that the audit tests undertaken are appropriate, evidenced and the correct conclusions drawn. All reports are reviewed to ensure that they are consistent with working papers and in layout. Whilst these reviews may identify issues for clarification, the overall conclusion of the quality assurance checks is that work is being completed and documented thoroughly.
 - Customer Satisfaction A Customer Satisfaction Survey form is issued with each report. This form seeks the views of the recipient on how the audit was conducted, the report and recommendations made.
 - Client Officer Views A survey form has been issued to the client officer seeking his views on the overall performance of the Internal Audit Consortium for the year in achieving the objectives set out in the Internal Audit Charter.
 - All staff have been provided with a copy of the Public Sector Internal Audit Standards and the Internal Audit Manual has been updated to reflect the requirements of the standards and issued to all staff.
- 3.16 The above quality control procedures have ensured conformance with the PSIAS.
- 3.17 Based on the customer satisfaction survey forms returned, the average score was 89% for customer satisfaction during 2015/16 (2014/15 result 92%).
- 3.18 The results of the Client Officer survey for Chesterfield was a score of 100% (35 out of a maximum of 35 for the seven areas reviewed this represented 7 'very good' scores).

REVIEW OF PERFORMANCE OF THE INTERNAL AUDIT CONSORTIUM AGAINST THE CURRENT INTERNAL AUDIT CHARTER

- 3.19 The Audit Charter was last reported to and approved by the Audit and Standards Committee in September 2015 and is also scheduled for approval elsewhere on this meeting's agenda as it has been updated to reflect the April 2016 updates to the Public Sector Internal Audit Standards.
- 3.20 Based on the information provided in this report on the completion of the 2015/16 internal audit plan, it is considered that the requirements of the Charter were met during the year.

4 Human resources/people management implications

4.1 There are no human resources implications.

5 Financial implications

5.1 There are no financial implications

6 Legal and data protection implications

6.1 There are no legal or data protection implications.

7 Consultation

7.1 Not Applicable.

8 Risk management

8.1 This report ensures that Members are aware of the work undertaken by internal audit during 2015/16 and their

opinion on the adequacy and effectiveness of the systems in place at Chesterfield Borough Council.

9 Equalities Impact Assessment (EIA)

9.1 Not Applicable.

10 Alternative options and reasons for rejection

10.1 Not Applicable.

11 Recommendations

- 11.1 That the Internal Audit Consortium Annual Report for 2015/16 be accepted.
- 11.2 That Members note that the issue of implementing internal audit recommendations in a timely fashion has been raised at CMT and measures discussed to improve the situation.

12 Reasons for recommendations

- 12.1 To present to Members the annual report for the Internal Audit Consortium in respect of Chesterfield Borough Council for 2015/16.
- 12.2 To ensure compliance with the Public Sector Internal Audit Standards.
- 12.3 To provide an opinion on the overall adequacy and effectiveness of the Council's control environment including any qualifications to that opinion.

Decision information

| Key decision number | |
|------------------------------|---------------------------------|
| Wards affected | All |
| Links to Council Plan | Internal audit work aids in the |
| priorities | Council's priority to provide |
| | value for money. |

Document information

| Report author | Contact number/email |
|--------------------|------------------------------------|
| Jenny Williams | 01246 345468 |
| Internal Audit | Jenny.williams@chesterfield.gov.uk |
| Consortium Manager | |
| | |

Background documents

These are unpublished works which have been relied on to a material extent when the report was prepared.

| Appendices to the report | | |
|--------------------------|---------------------------------------|--|
| Appendix A | Internal Audit Reports Issued 2015/16 | |
| Appendix B | Comparison of planned Audits to Audit | |
| | Completed 2015/16 | |
| Appendix C | Internal Audit Consortium Improvement | |
| | Plan | |

Appendix A

Chesterfield Borough Council – Internal Audit Reports Issued 2015/16

| | | Overall Opinion | |
|-----|---|-----------------|-------------------|
| Ref | Report Title | 2015/16 | Previous Audit |
| 1 | Data Protection | Unsatisfactory | Marginal |
| 2 | Freedom of Information | Satisfactory | Marginal |
| 3 | Crematorium Income | Satisfactory | Satisfactory |
| 4 | Refuse Collection Contract | Satisfactory | Good |
| 5 | Non Housing Property Repairs | Unsatisfactory | Marginal |
| 6 | Council Tax | Marginal | Marginal |
| 7 | VAT | Satisfactory | Satisfactory |
| 8 | Markets | Satisfactory | Marginal |
| 9 | BCN Consultancy Income | Good | Good |
| 10 | Bank Reconciliation | Satisfactory | Good |
| 11 | C & D Book Independent Checks | Satisfactory | Good |
| 12 | Cash and Banking | Good | Good |
| 13 | Gas Servicing | Satisfactory | Good |
| 14 | Non Domestic Rates | Good | Satisfactory |
| 15 | Investment Portfolio | Good | Good |
| 16 | Loans | Good | Good |
| 17 | Business Continuity and Emergency Planning | Satisfactory | N/A |
| 18 | Housing Benefits/Council Tax Support System | Good | Good |
| 19 | Accounts Payable | Satisfactory | Good |
| 20 | IT Security | Satisfactory | Marginal |
| 21 | Venues | Satisfactory | Satisfactory |
| 22 | Payroll | Satisfactory | Satisfactory |
| 23 | Housing Repairs Capital | Good | Satisfactory |
| 24 | Elections | Satisfactory | N/A |
| 25 | Housing Rents | Satisfactory | Satisfactory |
| 26 | Accounts Receivable | Good | Good |
| 27 | Car parks | Marginal | Satisfactory |
| 28 | Housing Repairs Responsive | Satisfactory | Satisfactory |
| 29 | Stores | Satisfactory | · |
| 30 | Main Accounting and Budgetary Control | Good | Good |
| 31 | Corporate Credit Cards | Satisfactory | Satisfactory |
| 32 | Procurement | Marginal | Unsatisfactory |

Internal Audit Report Opinion Definitions

| Control Level | Definition |
|----------------|---|
| Good | A few minor recommendations (if any). |
| Satisfactory | Minimal risk; a few areas identified where changes would be beneficial. |
| Marginal | A number of areas have been identified for improvement. |
| Unsatisfactory | Unacceptable risks identified, changes should be made. |
| Unsound | Major risks identified; fundamental improvements are required. |

INTERNAL AUDIT CONSORTIUM

CHESTERFIELD BOROUGH COUNCIL

Comparison of Planned Audits to Audits Completed 2015/16

| Main Financial Systems – Planned Audits | Progress as at 31 st March 2016 |
|---|--|
| Main Accounting System (Incl Budgetary Control) | Completed |
| Bank Reconciliation | Completed |
| Payroll | Completed |
| Creditor Payments (Accounts Payable) | Completed |
| Debtors | Completed |
| Treasury Management (Loans) | Completed |
| Investment Portfolio | Completed |
| Cash and Banking | Completed |
| Council Tax | Completed |
| Non Domestic Rates | Completed |
| Housing / Council Tax Benefit | Completed |
| Housing Rents | Completed |
| Housing Repairs – Capital | Completed |
| Housing Repairs - Responsive | Completed |

| Other Operational Audits – Planned Audits | Progress as at 31 st March |
|---|---------------------------------------|
| | 2016 |
| Building Control Fees | Completed |
| Business Continuity Planning | Completed |
| Car Parks Income | Completed |
| Contract Final Accounts | Completed |
| Elections | Completed |
| Gas Servicing | Completed |
| Joint Crematorium | Completed |
| Markets Income | Completed |
| Pomegranate Theatre | Completed |
| PPP Client Monitoring Procedures | Ongoing |
| Property Repairs Non-Housing | Completed |
| Refuse Collection – Domestic | Completed |
| Stores | Completed |
| VAT Procedures | Completed |
| Winding Wheel | Completed |

| Computer / IT Related | Progress as at 31 st March 2016 |
|-----------------------|--|
| Network Security | Completed |
| Data Protection/FOI | Completed |

| Corporate / Cross Cutting Issues – Planned Audits | Progress as at 31 st March 2016 |
|---|--|
| Annual Governance Statement | Completed |
| Procurement | In Progress |
| Brimington Parish Council | Completed |
| Financial Advice / Working Groups | On going |
| | |

| Location / Regularity | Progress as at 31 st March 2016 |
|--------------------------------------|--|
| Cash Floats | Completed |
| | |
| Special Investigations / Contingency | As required |
| | |
| Audit Committee / Client Liaison | On going |

Appendix C

Internal Audit Consortium Improvement Plan April 2016

| Improvement Area | Current Situation | Action Required Implementation Date / Officer Responsible |
|---|---|---|
| PSIAS requires an external assessment of internal audit to be undertaken at least once every 5 years with the first one being due by the end March 2018 | Budget has been agreed by Joint Board. Consultation has taken place with the Audit Committees in relation to the review (April 2016). | a) To agree a specification for the review with the project Sponsors (Head of Finance, Director of Operations, Head of Resources) Internal Audit Consortium Manager April/May 2016 April/May 2016 |
| | | b) To advertise the contract on source Derbyshire via the Royal Hospital Procurement Service May/June 2016 May/June 2016 |
| | | c) To assess quotations and appoint a reviewer June/July 2016 |
| | | d) To facilitate the review e) To present the findings to each audit Committee August – Dec 16 December 2016 |
| | | f) To implement the resulting action plan To be agreed when review is completed |

| Current Situation | Action Required | Implementation Date / Officer Responsible |
|--|---|---|
| Two Auditors are studying | The training needs | Internal Audit |
| for AAT and one Auditor is | assessment should be | Consortium Manager |
| , , | • | l 0040 |
| their AAT qualification and one senior Auditor has the | of the new Auditors needs and also the | June 2016 |
| IIA qualification as well. The Internal Audit | continuous professional development needs of | |
| Consortium Manager is | the rest of the team. | |
| CIPFA qualified. | This will be aligned with | |
| The last training needs | employee development | |
| assessment was | <u> </u> | |
| · | place in April/May 2016. | |
| <u>-</u> | | |
| · · · | | |
| Auditor. | | |
| Training is assessed each | | |
| year as part of the EPD | | |
| process. | | |
| f s o t o l T o u s A o A T o | or AAT and one Auditor is studying for CIPFA. All other Seniors/Auditors have heir AAT qualification and one senior Auditor has the IA qualification as well. The Internal Audit Consortium Manager is CIPFA qualified. The last training needs assessment was undertaken in June 2013, since then one part time Auditor has been appointed and another temporary Auditor. Training is assessed each year as part of the EPD | assessment should be reviewed and updated. This should take account of the new Auditors needs and also the continuous professional development needs of the rest of the team. This will be aligned with employee development reviews that are taking place in April/May 2016. Training is assessed each year as part of the EPD |

| Improvement Area | Current Situation | Action Required | Implementation Date / Officer Responsible |
|---|--|--|---|
| The policy states that policies and procedures should be regularly reviewed and updated to reflect changes in working practices and standards | Procedures and working practices have been fully reviewed as part of the review of the structure and job descriptions in 2015. A new | To ensure that the revised structure is effective and meets the needs of the constituent Council's | Internal Audit Consortium Manager Ongoing |
| otarida | structure was implemented from December 2015 Test schedules are reviewed at the start of each audit but there | Review the audit Charter and Audit Manual in relation to the revised PSIAS that came in to effect from April 2016 | Internal Audit Consortium Manager April – June 2016 |
| | is scope to review more fully in the light of risk, governance and VFM. | To review the test schedules for the main financial system to ensure still fully relevant and risk based. | Senior Auditors March 2017 And ongoing process |
| | | To review other test schedules to ensure they still focus on key risk and governance areas. | Internal Audit Consortium Manager Ongoing process |
| | | To develop test schedules for Safeguarding, Social Media, BACS | Internal Audit Consortium Manager/ Senior Auditors June - December 2016 |

| Improvement Area | Current Situation | Action Required | Implementation Date / Officer Responsible |
|-----------------------------------|----------------------------|---------------------------|---|
| The standards ask if the internal | The IAC has developed a | To review each Council's | Internal Audit |
| audit activity has evaluated the | fraud risk register. | arrangements against the | Consortium Manager/ |
| potential for fraud and also how | The "Protecting the public | checklist attached to the | Head of Finance/ |
| the organisation itself manages | purse" checklist has been | Fighting Fraud and | Director of Operations/ |
| fraud risk | completed and any | Corruption Locally | Head of Resources |
| | identified weaknesses | Strategy 2016 – 19 | |
| | addressed. | | |
| | The Audit Commission's | Complete Checklist | June/July 2016 |
| | fraud modules are | Formulate an action plan | June/July 2016 |
| | completed whilst | if required | |
| | undertaking main system | Report to Audit | September 2016 |
| | reviews | Committees | |
| | Participation in NFI | Implement action plan | July onwards |
| | Completion of the annual | | |
| | TEICCAF (The European | | |
| | Institute for combatting | | |
| | Corruption and Fraud) | | |
| | fraud survey. | | |
| | Attendance at the | | |
| | TEICCAF Annual fraud | | |
| | conference | | |

| Improvement Area | Current Situation | Action Required | Implementation Date / Officer Responsible |
|---|--|--|---|
| The Standards state that the internal audit activity should assess and make appropriate recommendations for improving the governance process. | The Internal Audit Consortium Manager writes the AGS for CBC and is part of an AGS working party for NEDDC/BDC. This involves a review of the CIPFA assurances and liaison with senior management. In April 2016 CIPFA have published "Delivering Good | To review CIPFA's 2016/17 Delivering Good Governance publication and review each Council's compliance with this. | Internal Audit Consortium Manager Review summer 2016 implement for 2016/17 AGS |
| | Government Framework 2016" | | |

| Improvement Area | Current Situation | Action Required | Implementation Date / Officer Responsible |
|---------------------------------|---------------------------|--------------------------|---|
| The Standards require that the | A system has been | The Internal Audit | Internal Audit |
| Head of Audit establish a | established for flagging | Consortium | Consortium |
| process to monitor and follow | recommendations that have | Manager/Senior Auditors | Manager/Senior |
| up management actions to | not been confirmed as | need to establish a more | Auditors |
| ensure that they have been | implemented at | pro-active approach to | |
| effectively implemented or that | CMT/Quarterly directorate | contacting managers to | Ongoing |
| senior management have | meetings. However the | ensure that | |
| accepted the risk of not taking | target PI for the % of | recommendations are | |
| action | recommendations | confirmed as | |
| | implemented by their due | implemented promptly | |
| | date was not reached for | and if not escalating as | |
| | the 2015/16 year. | appropriate | |